

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,906	08/22/2005	Reinhard Glatthaar	LINDE-0625	7330
23599 MILLEN. WH	7590 11/09/2007 ITE, ZELANO & BRAI	ЕХАМ	EXAMINER	
2200 CLAREN	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	DOERRLER, WIL	LIAM CHARLES
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/510,906	GLATTHAAR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William C. Doerrler	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AF	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 October 2004</u> is.	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ o	bjected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forma)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachmont/o)			
Attachment(s) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-8-2004	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 10-8-2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. The '965 and '966 European patents have been located and made of record, but not the '778 European patent or the pages of the book.

Claim Observations

In claim 1, the subject matter following "preferably" and "especially preferably" is not seen to be required to meet the structure of the claim. In line 3 of claim 3, "ga" should be --gas--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,906

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 1-7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Agrawal et al (6,240,744).

Agrawal et al shows a distillation column with an upper, lower and central portion, with the central portion divided into 2 partitioned sections 125 and 127. An argon enriched flow leaves from the second central portion through line 139 and enters argon column 541. Line 28 of column 7 states that there is 5-15% argon in the bottom section. Line 45 of column 7 states that there is up to 10% oxygen in the stream removed from the second portion of the central area. In regard to claim 9, line 42 of column 9 which states that there are 20-200 stages used for separation. Line 49 of column 7 states that the argon enriched stream may have an oxygen concentration between 3 and 60%.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 3744

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal et al.

Agrawal et al discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, an air separation column with a central partitioned section with one of the portioned sections providing an argon enriched stream to an argon column, substantially as claimed with the exception of specifying that the argon product leaving the argon column has an oxygen concentration of less than 10 ppm. Agrawal et al state that argon product stream 553 may contain nitrogen and oxygen. However, deriving products with desired purity is considered well within the scope of the ordinary practitioner and considered obvious. It is noted that applicants' give no new structure to their argon column, so there is no disclosure of any structure that would not be known to an ordinary practitioner in the art presented with the Agrawal patent. By modifying the argon column in known ways, one of ordinary skill in the art could derive argon with less than 10ppm oxygen, if that is what is desired by the consumer.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Guillard et al, DeBussy and Smith et al show air separation columns with argon columns. Agrawal et al '742, Wong et al, Ognisty et al and Lockett et al show partitioned columns.

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD